

To: Mylott, Richard[Mylott.Richard@epa.gov]
Cc: Smith, Paula[Smith.Paula@epa.gov]
From: StClair, Christie
Sent: Thur 8/13/2015 12:59:48 AM
Subject: Re: draft Qs and As

that's useful to know.

Can I send you more q's?

From: Mylott, Richard
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2015 8:46 PM
To: StClair, Christie
Cc: Smith, Paula
Subject: RE: draft Qs and As

Probably about half of it. The rest is guesses and "something like this" language that needs to become factual.

From: StClair, Christie
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2015 6:32 PM
To: Mylott, Richard
Cc: Smith, Paula
Subject: Re: draft Qs and As

Thank you so much!

I'm wondering how much of this info has already been put out on our website or released in press releases, etc.?

From: Mylott, Richard
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2015 8:21 PM
To: StClair, Christie
Cc: Smith, Paula
Subject: FW: draft Qs and As

Christie—hope this is a running start. Joan indicates you might want to run with this and get it fact checked and updated by folks in JIC/EOC who can help. Some of this might inform statements or updates to website as well.

These build on some previous messages on WQ data and impacts, halting the impact at the mine, no expected impacts to Powell, commitments to long-term and making this right-- you hopefully have a lot more positives and progress to report based on information coming in today.

Even with the perceived data delays, we have good messages, they just need to be packaged and delivered with some confidence. Durango DW back on-- if true?-- is a milestone on the road to normal, should be front and center and a hint at good news over next day(s), yes?

Let me know how I can help...

Rich

720-237-8119

From: Mylott, Richard
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2015 5:36 PM
To: Card, Joan
Cc: StClair, Christie
Subject: draft Qs and As

Joan—first shot at Qs and As attached, lots of spots that reflect the limits of my knowledge or best guesses on facts. I am open to all suggestions on how to circulate and get feedback from individuals who can improve this. No matter how we do it will be sloppy.

Whats next?

1. Do want to work this for a bit and get it back to me?
2. Or should Christie shop this to folks in Durango to get feedback and produce an next draft?
3. Or I could send the draft email language below to a handful of people. David Ostrander, Rusty Harris, Deb McKean, Kelly Zito, Craig Myers, Melissa Harrison, and David Gray strike me as good candidates-- but there may be others who you think have a wider sense of facts and status and messaging. (this might be too hard in terms of logistics of getting feedback from so many...)

I'm open to other suggestions as well.

Let me know what will work best!!!!

Rich

.....

Draft Qs and As for use in external communications on GKM attached.

This needs review and input from several people, including individuals in R9, R6, and those most familiar with 1.) the current data and info on risk and WQ and 2. the status of specific response activities and field reports in Durango.

I have tried to send this to people who may be in a position to provide content, updates and check for accuracy. New questions also welcome.

I flagged areas for attention in red.

No easy way to do this quickly. I think the best way to approach this is for you all to offer input with tracked changes to me and I will do my best to marry and process...